The update of self-identity: Importance of assessing autobiographical memory in major depressive disorder
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Abstract
Major depressive disorder is a leading global cause of disability. There is a growing interest for memory in mood disorders since it might constitute an original tool for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. MDD is associated with impaired autobiographical memory characterized by a tendency to overgeneral memory, rather than vivid episodic self-defining memory, which is mandatory for problem-solving and projection in the future. This memory bias is maintained by three mechanisms: ruminations, avoidance, and impaired executive control. If we adopt a broader and comprehensive perspective, we can hypothesize that all those alterations have the potential to impair self-identity updating. We posit that this update requires a double referencing process: (1) to internalized self-representation and (2) to an externalized framework dealing with the representation of the consequence of actions. Diagnostic and therapeutic implications are discussed in the light of this model and the importance of assessing autobiographical memory in MDD is highlighted.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder is a leading global cause of disability (Collins et al., 2011; Gustavsson et al., 2011). There is a growing interest for memory in mood disorders since it might constitute an original tool for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment.

Memory performance impairment in MDD is associated with poor attention, poor motivation, higher anxiety and sleep disturbances. Moreover, chronic stress and major depressive disorder are associated with stronger negative memories retrieval and impaired executive functions (de Sousa et al., 2021; Rock et al., 2014; Semkovska et al., 2019; Young...
et al., 2016). Changes in long-term memory episodic and retrospective autobiographical memory (AM) in MDD are characterized by a lack of specificity in retrieved memories which was referred to as “overgeneral memory” (OGM) by van Vreeswijk and De Wilde (2004). As highlighted in a recent review, overgeneral AM is a risk factor of depressive disorder and AM deficits might be trait-like in depressive patients (Fang & Dong, 2022). OGM may be a consequence of intrusive-memory avoidance and is quite stable over time (i.e., remaining after remission). It has been correlated to reduced problem-solving performance. On the contrary, better capacities to recall specific past life events have been associated with better capacities to imagine the future, and the production of a larger set of alternative problem-solving actions (Pollock & Williams, 2001; Williams et al., 1996). This observation concurs with every recent fundamental research article highlighting that the brain selects the memories that have the highest potential of predictability of future events (Sherman et al., 2022). Anticipation and coping skills (the initiation of original behaviors in new challenging contexts), may thus directly be based on memory selection. Williams et al. formed the hypothesis that OGM is maintained by three mechanisms: capture and rumination (CaR), functional avoidance (FA), and impaired executive control (X) which is associated to rumination (Williams et al., 2007). Thus, in MDD, autobiographical memory is closely intertwined with rumination (excessive negative self-referencing) and dysexecutive syndrome. Ruminations compete with the cognitive regulation of negative affects and effective reappraisal of events, as well as the determination of novel aims and perspectives (Nolen-Hoeksema et al., 2008). In this regard, self-defining memories, which corresponds to vivid memories that lead to the creation of narrative parts of lifespan, may be of particular importance in MDD prognosis.

Considering autobiographical memory, not solely, but in interactions with these domains (avoidance, executive control, and rumination), is paramount. Furthermore, recent elucidations proved that MDD physiopathology accentuated the structural and functional impact of stress on the brain (Belleau et al., 2019; de Sousa et al., 2021; Piasecka et al., 2020; Toda et al., 2019; Yrondie et al., 2018). It particularly impairs limbic areas (Godlewsk et al., 2012; He et al., 2018; Korb et al., 2011; Langenecker et al., 2018; Notebaert et al., 2018; Phillips et al., 2015; Williams et al., 1996; Yoon et al., 2018); Cognitive Control Network (hypoactive) (Pimontel et al., 2016; Wagner et al., 2018), and Default Mode Network (hyperactive) (Damasio, 2010; Hooley et al., 2009; Nejad et al., 2013; Northoff et al., 2006; Sendi et al., 2021; Sui & Humphreys, 2015; Vanderhasselt et al., 2011; Wagner et al., 2015). These alterations are respectively associated with negative attentional, emotional and memory biases, as well as cognitive inflexibility, and ruminations.

In this configuration, subjects become at the psychological and biological level more and more resistant to subsequent environmental inputs (e.g., positive information disconfirming negative beliefs and expectations). They are unable to adjust to a continually changing environment, or really learn from the experience, rather than just react to the event (amygdala-striatal bottom-up control take precedence over frontal-hippocampal networks; de Sousa et al., 2021).

To better understand the dynamic interactions of autobiographical memory bias, avoidance, ruminations, and impaired executive control, we propose to adopt the broader perspective of self-identity updating, which requires an integration of memory, self-referencing, and cognitive flexibility. In this perspective, we make the hypothesis that MDD could be considered as a deficient self-identity updating pathology.

## 2 | MAJOR DEPRESSIVE DISORDER: DYSFUNCTIONAL SELF-IDENTITY UPDATING?

Autobiographical memory in patients with MDD constitutes an anchor, or a framework of reference, for the update of patients’ identity (El Haj & Gallouj, 2019). Our thesis is that the stability of selfhood, that is, the feeling of continuity of one’s identity, may involve two referencing processes: (i) reference to an internalized self-representation depending on autobiographical memories; (ii) reference to environments feedback resulting from our engagement in actions (compared with prospective memory, itself based on past-oriented mind-wandering; Girardeau et al., 2022). Moreover, we know that external stimuli and internal frame of reference can have a positive or negative valence. In MDD, a disbalance between these two references may occur with an excessive reference to negative self-defining memories (i.e., a stronger implicit association between the mental concept of oneself and negative characteristics or experiences; Phillips et al., 2010; van Randenborgh et al., 2016). The self-referencing process itself is dysfunctional in MDD as Default Mode Network. It is important to note that Default Mode Network also plays a crucial role in the distinction between the self and the non-self as well as in the integration of memory and perception (Boeker and Kraehenmann, 2018). This network shows an unstable connectivity in this disease (Wise et al., 2017), that in turn may fragilize
selfhood. In other words, selfhood may require the integration of external and internal information leading to the confirmation or disconfirmation of internalized modelization of oneself in a Bayesian perspective as suggested in Figure 1.

Preservation of selfhood (understood as the subjective identity continuity at the phenomenological level), in face of stress or failures, may be central in the self-evaluation homeostasis. We hypothesize that in healthy people, selfhood is strengthened by an adequate balance and coherence between positive internalized self-defining memories (internal referential) and the representation of actual positive feedbacks resulting from engagement in goal-directed behavior in the environment (external referential). In depression we posit that the update of subjective identity is impaired by negative repeated feedback from the environment associated with avoidance. Selfhood (relying on the update of one own’s representation and consciousness) may be maintained through excessive increased self-focus, relying on the internal referential (counterbalancing disengagement from the environment). If the prominent referential, that is, the internal one, has a negative valence (due to previous negative life experience, and internalized stigma), it will lead to an increase of negative self-reference which will, in turn, increase withdrawal. This pathological process can worsen and eventually lead to the emergence of psychotic or suicidal thoughts.

In this model, a healthy state is defined by a congruence between the engagement in the external world and a positive representation of oneself, enriched by vivid positive life experiences. For example, the Bayesian approach posits that brain simulates the probability of success in a social interaction (and thus engagement in the environment) depending on pre-existent self-representations (Moutoussis et al., 2014). Paradoxically, remaining oneself supposes an ability to grow in an evolving environment. In severe depression, the external world, seen as dangerous or deceptive, may no longer be considered in the identity updating process. While patients avoid external world, selfhood is

FIGURE 1 Integrative model of major depressive disorder understood as an impairment of self-identity updating underlying selfhood, resulting from a pathological process of reference to the self and to the environment.
maintained on account of a unique referencing process toward internalized preexisting self-defining memories (Boeker & Kraehenmann, 2018). If these memories have a negative emotional valence (e.g., in case of early negative experiences), the negative referencing increases mechanically until destructuring of the self occurs. Suicidal crisis corresponds to an impossibility of remaining oneself (i.e., an excessive and exclusive unbearable self-referencing). Between healthy state and depression, adjustment trouble is a period of emotional distress, in which the subject tries to find external and internal resources to protect his self-identity. Interestingly, in manic episodes, we observe the opposite than in depression: the subject’s consciousness is solely focused on goal-directed behavior, lacking references to past (Bulteau et al., 2018).

We thus posit that clinical trials for depression should not only assess depression scores, but also the degree of self-reference, the quality of internalized stigmatized memories, as well as the degree of integration of confirming/disconfirming environment feed-back. It would allow a more dynamical characterization of the pathological process according to personal characteristics, including internal frame of reference that feeds from the personal autobiographical memory. Moreover, it could also be considered that the depressive episode itself constitutes a traumatic memory (the anxiety of feeling one’s own identity destructuring) thus destabilizing patients and exposing to further relapse.

3 | IMPLICATIONS FOR TREATMENT

3.1 | Goal

As a consequence, treatments for MDD should not only have the aim to decrease sadness and anhedonia, but target negative self-defining memories, as well as the attention given to events disconfirming negative beliefs and internalized self-image. Depression can be considered as a type of “self-bankruptcy,” in which the subject no longer has access to positive memories to shape his future. In this regard, psychotherapy should focus on the access to positive memories. To obtain sustained subjective remission treatment should thus embrace: reappraisal, insight, symptoms understanding and acceptance, expectations, beliefs and way of life, adaptation to the context, and allow a more flexible self-reference process, based on a healthier internal self-representation (Northoff, 2007). In other words, a future challenge for psychiatry will be to sequence and combine different approaches enhancing neuroplasticity and cognitive and psychological flexibility, which will improve problems solving and self-perception, which are some of the most desirable outcomes from the patients’ perspective (Chevance et al., 2020), and ultimately support a healthy self-identity update. In summary, comprehensive treatment for MDD should provide (de Sousa et al., 2021): (1) cognitive bias modification (attentional, interpretative, associations of events with the self); (2) rumination disengagement; (3) enhanced executive functioning, acceptance, and flexibility (cognitive, psychological, behavioral); (4) enhanced neuroplasticity and biological stress counteracting; (5) better PFC-limbic connectivity; (6) moving from overgeneral autobiographical memory toward more specific and positive memories; (7) environment quality (trauma prevention, social support, mutual benevolence, lower social social comparison, etc.).

3.2 | Multimodal approaches

Multimodal approaches are more effective that a unique isolated treatment (Candlish et al., 2019; Laird et al., 2019; Wilkinson et al., 2019). Such treatment may combine (i) psychotherapy (such as narrative therapy, MBCT, CBT, ACT, EMDR, and memory reconsolidation, cognitive control training, autobiographical episodic memory training, and any other kind of validated psychotherapy) and (ii) psychotropic drugs or neuromodulation, in an individualized manner. Effectively, multimodal approaches could focus on cognitive control network activation during different tasks involving emotion regulation, memory retrieval, self-reference, and reappraisal (Assaf et al., 2018; Kanter et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2020). As a matter of example, augmented psychotherapy with ketamine may be promising, while enhancing updating abilities. Ketamine showed ability to: quickly improve mood; induce a synaptogenic signaling cascade promoting brain plasticity; improve PFC and subgenual ACC connectivity; decrease negative mnemonic associations (Bottemanne, Baldacci, et al., 2022; Stuart et al., 2015); and induce flexibility in patients’ mental representations of the self and beliefs (Price et al., 2014; Price & Duman, 2020). Interestingly, recent studies have tried to combine biological treatment with plasticity enhancement properties, such as ketamine and positive self-associations training (Price et al., 2022). In a recent study, patients with TRD, as opposed to control patients, better updated their beliefs after
positive rather than negative news, following a single ketamine infusion (Bottemanne, Morlaas, et al., 2022). Augmented psychotherapy can also be envisaged with the combination of positive self-association training and brain stimulation techniques targeting cognitive control network (dIPFC and ACC connectivity), that seems to be a crucial prognosis factor for MDD treatment, as illustrated by promising neurostimulation treatments based on individual fMRI (Cole et al., 2020; Whitfield-Gabrieli et al., 2020).

4 | RESEARCH PERSPECTIVES

Much work remains ongoing in understanding the role of autobiographical memory in MDD. For example, which type of memories are more likely to influence self-representation and consciousness and expose patients to recurrences? How does autobiographical memory evolves during treatment courses? How does self-perception evolves during treatment according to several factors such as: previous experience, personality, level of depressive symptomatology, awareness and understanding of symptoms, treatment type, context, frame of reference, appraisal capacities, as well as values and priorities (Braunstein et al., 2017; Bulteau et al., 2019; Gao et al., 2018)? In which extent the retrieval of positive memories can work as a resilience factor in subjects at risk of developing depression (Askelund et al., 2019)? To which extent in psychotherapy can we use fictional materials to balance impaired personal memories (i.e., take into account the therapeutic potential of musical, pictorial, dramatic, or literary artwork to safely provide new identities and memories or imaginary experiences to update self-identity; Sperduti et al., 2016)? Are there any biomarkers related to autobiographical memory that could help predicting vulnerability toward depression or constitute early markers of response to treatment? Recently it was found, for example, that positive and negative emotional memories trigger more eye fixations and saccades, and shorter fixation duration, than neutral memories (El Haj et al., 2017). Moreover, a larger pupil diameter is observed during the retrieval of specific than general self-defining memories (El Haj et al., 2022). Thus, eye movements and pupil dilatation could constitute a marker of the effect of augmented psychotherapy targeting autobiographical memory in MDD. Eventually, studying autobiographical memory may be all the more crucial in late-life depression since old age psychiatrists have to deal with the whole biography of patients, memory and executive-function impairment, as well as co-morbid neurodegenerative diseases, that can present with different patterns of autobiographical memory and future thinking (La Corte et al., 2021).

5 | CONCLUSION

Depression is a particular disease since it alters self-perception and events reappraisal, due to attentional, emotional, cognitive, and memory negative bias. The use of memory constitutes a promising field for the assessment and treatment of mood disorders. Bearing in mind the association of autobiography memory with rumination processes, cognitive and psychological flexibility, as well as time perception and anticipation, this type of memory should be further considered in mood disorders.
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